

STUDENT NAME:	<input type="text"/>	SU NUMBER:	<input type="text"/>
QUALIFICATION:	<input type="text"/>		
PROGRAMME:	<input type="text"/>		
THESIS TITLE:	<input type="text"/>		
SUBMISSION:	First	Second	

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The evaluation process makes provision for a special case where all the marks awarded by the individual examiners, before any meeting of the examination committee, all indicate a pass, and all fall within the same range. The two ranges are 50-70, and 75-100. In this case, without any further consultation with the examiners:

- option (a) or (d) may be marked by the academic coordinator.
- the average of the marks is awarded.
- only the academic coordinator signs the form.

In all other cases, consensus between examiners must be reached before a mark is awarded, either through direct interaction between the module coordinator and the examiners, a meeting of the examination committee, or a full oral examination. In these cases, all the examiners and the chair of the examination committee need to sign off in the indicated spaces below.

The Module Coordinator or Chair of the Examination Committee confirms that (*please select only one option*):

#### **If the first submission of the thesis:**

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| (a) All the examiners agree that the thesis satisfies the assessment criteria adequately. Changes and/or corrections should be completed to the satisfaction of the supervisor(s), before the final copy can be submitted to the Stellenbosch University archive. A mark between 50 and 100 is awarded.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (b) After an oral examination, the thesis fails to satisfy some or all of the examiners with respect to the assessment criteria. Major changes and/or improvements must be incorporated into a second submission of the thesis. The thesis can be improved and resubmitted once only. No mark is awarded. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) After an oral examination, all the examiners agree that the thesis completely fails to satisfy the assessment criteria, and that no resubmission is recommended. A mark of 40 or less is awarded.   | _____                    |

#### **If the second submission of the thesis:**

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| (d) All the examiners agree that the thesis satisfies the assessment criteria sufficiently. Changes and/or corrections should be completed to the satisfaction of the supervisor(s), before the final copy can be submitted to the Stellenbosch University archive. A mark between 50 and 100 is awarded. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (d) At a meeting of the examination committee, or after an oral examination, all the examiners agree that the thesis fails to satisfy the assessment requirements adequately. A mark of 40 or less is awarded.  | _____                    |
| (e) At a meeting of the examination committee, or after an oral examination, a unanimous decision could not be reached. The process is referred to the Departmental Management Committee.   | _____                    |

Final Mark

**CHECKLIST** (select all appropriate tick boxes):

The draft of a journal publication has been submitted to the satisfaction of the supervisor(s).

☐

A public presentation was performed, with details below (may be part of an oral examination).

☐

MEETING NAME:

PLACE:

DATE:

**SIGNATURES**

The chairperson or Academic Coordinator may sign on behalf of any panel member, *if* written approval of the outcome by such an examiner is attached.

EXAMINER 1:

EXAMINER 2:

DATE:

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

SIGNATURE:

Approval attached:

☐

Approval attached:

☐

EXAMINER 3:

EXAMINER 4:

DATE:

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

SIGNATURE:

Approval attached:

☐

Approval attached:

☐

EXAM COMMITTEE  
CHAIR:

PG COORDINATOR:

DATE:

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

SIGNATURE:

**RECOMMENDATION BY THE DEPARTMENT**

The Departmental Management Committee approves the recommendations of the Examination Commission.

DEPT. CHAIR:

DATE:

SIGNATURE: